Zeta regularization and Noncommutative Geometry

Akira Asada

3-6-21, Nogami, Takarzuka, 665-0022 Japan,
E-mail asada@poporo.ne.jp

Abstract

Arguments from noncommutative geometry are useful to the study of infinite dimensional geometry. For example, applying such arguments together with ζ-regularization, we can define Grassmann algebra with $\infty - p$-forms. In this paper, we apply noncommutative geometric arguments and ζ-regularization to the calculus of $(\infty - p)$-forms. We show exactness of exterior differentiable $(\infty - p)$-forms and try to justify physists' answer of infinite dimensional Gaussian integral by using Ray-Singer determinant.

AMS Subject classification: 58B99, 58J42, 81S40, 17B65.
Keywords: Spectral ζ-function, Regularized dimension, $(\infty - p)$-forms.

1 Introduction

Noncommutative geometry is a powerful tool not only for physics but also for infinite dimensional geometry (cf. [1],[3], [10], [11],[15]). For example, a mapping space $Map(X, M)$ can be viewed as a Sobolev manifold modeled by $H = W^k(X)$. Here $W^k(X)$ is a Sobolev space over X. If X is a compact spin manifold, with suitable modification to $Map(X, M)$, we may regard $W^k(X)$ to be the Sobolev space of spinor fields on X. In this case, the Dirac operator $\not{D}$ of X induces a polarization $\epsilon = P_+ - P_-$ of H. Here $P_\pm$ are the positive and negative peoper spaces of $\not{D}$, respectively. The principle of noncommutative geometry asserts $\{H, \epsilon\}$ gave geometric information of X. For example, if G is a linear Lie group, $Map(X, G)$ is contained in the restricted general linear group $GL_p = \{T \in GL(H) | [\epsilon, T] \in I_p\}$, $p > d/2$, where $GL(H)$ is the group of all inversible bounded linear opertors of H, I_p is the p-th Schatten ideal, and d is the dimension of X. The topological structure of a GL_p-bundle $\{g_{UV}\}$ is completely determined by the noncommutative connection $\{\kappa_U\}$,

$$\kappa_U : U \mapsto I_p, \quad (\epsilon + \kappa_U)g_{UV} = g_{UV}(\epsilon + \kappa_V),$$

([1]). To get more precise information than topology, we use the pair $\{H, G\}$, where G is a nondegenerate Schatten class operator such that its ζ-function

$\zeta(G,s)$ is holomorphic at $s=0$ ([2], [3]). Considering such pairing is closely related to Connes' spectral triple ([9]). Our approach is narrow than Connes' approach but more concrete. If $H=W^k(X)$, we take G to be the Green operator of a nondegenerate selfadjoint elliptic (pseudo) differential operator on X. For simple, we assume positivity of G in this paper. In abstract setting, we introduce Sobolev norm $\|x\|_k$ by $\|G^{-k}x\|$. The Sobolev space by the norm $\|x\|_k$ is denoted by W^k. The complete orthonormal basis $\{e_n\}$ of H is taken by proper vectors of G; $Ge_n=\mu_n e_n$. Here we arrange $\{\mu_n\}$ to be $\mu_1\geq\mu_2\geq\ldots>0$. Then the complete orthonormal basis of W^k is given by $\{e_{n,k}\}$, $e_{n,k}=\mu_n^{-k}e_n$. The coordinate of $x\in W^k$ is fixed to be $(x_1,x_2,\ldots)$, $x=\sum x_n e_{n,k}$.

We say $\nu=\zeta(G,0)$ to be the regularized dimension of H (and W^k). Other invariants of the pair $\{H,G\}$ are the position d of the first pole of $\zeta(G,s)$ and $detG=\exp(\zeta'(G,0))$. By using these invariants, we have defined $(\infty-p)$-forms on W^k and investigated their calculus including exactness of exterior differentiable $(\infty-p)$-forms ([2],[3]). In this paper, we reinvestigate these definitions and results. Regularization of integrals of ∞-forms by using fractional calculus (cf.[13]) and ζ-regularization has been defined in [4]. Corresponding regularization procedure of exterior differential of $(\infty-p)$-forms is introduced and related to the regularization of differential operators on H ([3],[6]). Then as an example of regularized integral of ∞-forms, an attempt of mathematical justification of the formula

$$\int e^{-2\pi(x,Dx)}\mathcal{D}x=\frac{1}{\sqrt{detD}},$$

where $detD$ is the Ray-Singer determinant of D (cf. [8], [14],[16]), is given. We also try to compute regularized volume of "sphere" in H. The answer is not yet obtained. But our calculation sugests the regularized volume might be $\dfrac{2\pi^{\nu/2}}{\Gamma(\frac{\nu}{2})}$ as expected.

2 Grassmann algebra with $(\infty-p)$-forms

We introduce the Sobolev duality between W^k and W^{-k} by

$$\langle x,\xi\rangle=\langle G^{-k}x,G^k\xi\rangle,\quad x\in W^k,\xi\in W^{-k}. \tag{1}$$

By definition, W^k is contained in W^l if $k>l$. We set

$$W^{k+0}=\bigcup_{l>k}W^l,\quad W^{k-0}=\bigcap_{l<k}W^l. \tag{2}$$

If $k=0$, we denote $H^{\pm}$ instead of $W^{\pm0}$. In W^{k-0}, we set

$$e_{\infty,k}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\mu_n^{d/2}e_n,k. \tag{3}$$

e_∞, k depends on the choice of $\{e_n\}$. But we do not specify $\{e_n\}$ for simple.

Definition. We set

$$W^{k-0}(0) = \{\sum x_n e_{n,k} \in W^{k-0} | \lim_{n\to\infty} \mu_n^{-d/2} x_n = 0\}, \tag{4}$$

and define the space $W^{k-0}(finite)$ by

$$W^{k-0}(finite) = W^{k-0}(0) \oplus \mathbb{R} e_{\infty,k}, \quad or \ W^{k-0}(0) \oplus \mathbb{C} e_{\infty,k}, \tag{5}$$

according to W^k is a real vactor space or a complex vector space.

We consider $W^{k-0}(0)$ to be a subspace of W^{k-0}. But $W^{k-0}(finite)$ is considered to be a product space of $W^{k-0}(0)$ and $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$ as a topological space.

Since $W^{k-0}(0)$ is dense in W^{k-0}, the dual space of $W^{k-0}(0)$ is W^{-k+0}. We define the dual element $e^\dagger_{\infty,k}$ of $e_{\infty,k}$ by

$$\langle e^\dagger_{\infty,k}, x\rangle = \lim_{s\to+0} \frac{s}{c} \langle \sum \mu_n^{d/2+s} e_{n,-k}, x\rangle, \quad x \in W^{k-0}, \tag{6}$$

where $c = \mathrm{Res}_{s=0}\zeta(G,s)$. But since $e_{\infty,k}$ and $e^\dagger_{\infty,k}$ are not symmetric each other, we introduce $\mathbf{e}_k$ by

$$\langle \mathbf{e}_k, x\rangle = \lim_{s\to+0} \sqrt{\frac{s}{c}} \langle \sum \mu_n^{(d+s)/2} e_{n,k}, x\rangle, \quad x \in W^{k-0}. \tag{7}$$

Since $c = \lim_{s\to d+0}(s-d)\zeta(G,s)$, c is positive, so $\mathbf{e}_k$ is well defined although W^k is a real vector space.. By definition, we may write

$$\mathbf{e}_k = G^{2k}\mathbf{e}_{-k}, \quad or \quad \mathbf{e}_k = *\mathbf{e}_{-k},$$

where $*$ is the Hodge operator ([2]). By definition, we also have

$$\langle \mathbf{e}_k, \mathbf{e}{-k}\rangle = 1, \quad \langle \mathbf{e}_k, e_{n,-k}\rangle = \langle e_{n,k}, \mathbf{e}_{-k}\rangle = 0.$$

Hence we may set

$$(W^{-k+0} \oplus \mathbb{K}\mathbf{e}_{-k})^\dagger = W^{k-0} \oplus \mathbb{K}\mathbf{e}_k, \tag{8}$$

where $\mathbb{K}$ is either of $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$.

Since $Map(X,M)$ is a Sobolev manifold modeled by $W^k(X)$, where k is larger than $dimX/2$, differential forms of $Map(X,M)$ take the values in $Gr(W^{-k}(X)$, the Grassmann algebra over $W^{-k}(X)$. So we treat $Gr(W^{-k+0})$ and denote the generators of this algebra corresponding to $e_{n,-k}$ by dx_n. We also introduce $d^\infty x$ as the element corresponding to $\mathbf{e}_k$ and regard it as the infinite product $dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge \ldots$. We denote Gr if forget multiplicative structure of Gr and regard only as a module. We give the left $Gr(W^{-k+0})$-module structure to $\mathrm{Gr}(W^{k-0})\otimes d^\infty x$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
&(dx_{i_1}\wedge\ldots\wedge dx_{i_p})\wedge(d\xi_{j_1}\wedge\ldots\wedge d\xi_{j_q})\otimes d^\infty x=0,\\
&\qquad\{i_1,\ldots,i_p\}\not\subset\{j_1,\ldots,j_q\}, \qquad (9)\\
&(dx_{i_1}\wedge\ldots\wedge dx_{i_p})\wedge\\
&\qquad\wedge\big((d\xi_{i_1}\wedge\ldots\wedge d\xi_{i_p})\wedge(d\xi_{j_1}\wedge\ldots\wedge d\xi_{j_q})\big)\otimes d^\infty x\\
=\;&(-1)^{(i_1-1)+\cdots+(i_p-p)}(d\xi_{j_1}\wedge\ldots\wedge d\xi_{j_q})\otimes d^\infty x,\\
&\qquad\{i_1,\ldots,i_p\}\cap\{j_1,\ldots,j_q\}=\emptyset. \qquad (10)
\end{aligned}$$

In the rest, we denote

$$d^{\infty-\{i_1,\ldots,i_p\}}x=(dx_{i_1}\wedge\ldots\wedge dx_{i_p})\otimes d^\infty x. \qquad (11)$$

We thought $d^{\infty-\{i_1,\ldots,i_p\}}x$ to be

$$dx_1\wedge\ldots\wedge dx_{i_1-1}\wedge dx_{i_1+1}\wedge\ldots\wedge dx_{i_p-1}\wedge dx_{i_p+1}\wedge\ldots.$$

In $\mathrm{Gr}(W^{k-0})\otimes d^\infty x$, elements written as $\sum_I f_I d^{\infty-I}x$, $I=\{i_1,\ldots,i_p\}$ are said to be $(\infty-p)$-forms and denoted by $\phi^{\infty-p}$, *etc.*. Then we define wedge product of p-form or $(\infty-p)$-form and $(\infty-q)$-form by (9), (10) and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\phi^{\infty-p}\wedge\psi^{\infty-q}=0, && (12)\\
&\phi^p\wedge\psi^{\infty-q}=(-1)^{p(\nu-q)}\psi^{\infty-q}\wedge\phi^p,\quad -1=\mathrm{e}^{\pi i}, && (13)\\
&\psi^{\infty-q}\wedge\phi^p=(-1)^{(\nu-q)p}\phi^p\wedge\psi^{\infty-q},\quad -1=\mathrm{e}^{-\pi i}, && (14)
\end{aligned}$$

when W^k is a complex vector space. Here ν is arbitrary and need not assume integrity. *While if W^k is a real vector space, we need to assume integrity of* ν(cf. [5]).

Definition. The algebra $Gr(W^{-k+0})\oplus\mathrm{Gr}(W^{k-0})\otimes d^\infty x$ with the wedge product defined by the rules (9), (10) and (12) - (14) is said to be the Grassmann algebra with ∞ -forms and denoted by $Gr^\infty(W^{-k+0})$.

Note. Commutaion relations (13) and (14) are same those of generators of noncommutative torus (or matrices algebra) when ν is not a rational number (or a rational number) (cf. [12]). We ask are there any relation between Grassman algebra with ∞-forms (or Clliford algebra with ∞-spinors, which is defined by the same way ([5])) and noncommutative torus (or matrices algebra) (cf. [7]).

3 Exterior differential of $(\infty-p)$-forms

Similar to the finite degree forms exterior differential of an $(\infty-p)$-form $\sum f_I d^{\infty-I}x$ is defined by

$$d(\sum_I f_I d^{\infty-I}x)=\sum_I df_I\wedge d^{\infty-I}x,\quad df=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n}dx_n. \qquad (15)$$

But since

$$d(\sum_{i_1,\dots,i_{p+1}} f_{i_1,\dots,i_{p+1}} d^{\infty-\{i_1,\dots,i_{p+1}\}}x)$$

$$= \sum_{i_1,\dots,i_p} (\sum_{k=0}^{p+1} \sum_{i_k<j<i_{k+1}} (-1)^{j-k} \frac{\partial f_{i_1,\dots,i_k,j,i_{k+1},\dots,i_p}}{\partial x_j}) d^{\infty-\{i_1,\dots,i_p\}}x,$$

where $i_0 < j < i_1$ and $i_p < j < i_{p+1}$ mean $j < i_1$ and $i_p < j$, respectively, $d\phi^{\infty-p}$ diverges in general. We say $\phi^{\infty-p}$ is exterior differentiable if $d\phi^{\infty-p}$ converges.

Note. $\phi^{\infty-p}$ is expressed as alternative function $f(x) = f(x, x_1, \dots, x_p) : W^k \to W^k$. Denoting Fréchet differential of f by $\hat{d}f$, df is given by

$$df(x, x_1, \dots, x_{p-1}) = (-1)^{p-1} tr \hat{d}f(x, x_1, \dots, x_{p-1}, x).$$

So to define df, we need to assume $\hat{d}f$ to be a trace class operator. This is a coordiante free definition of exterior differentiable form ([3]).

Theorem 1. *An exterior differentiable $(\infty - p)$-form is exact.*

Proof. Since Theorem is true if $p = 0$, first we prove Theorem for $(\infty - 1)$-form $\phi = \sum f_n d^{\infty-\{n\}}x$. First we note that if ϕ is exterior differentiable, then there exists a constant $M > 0$ such that

$$|\sum_{n=1}^{N} (-1)^{n-1} \frac{\partial f_n}{\partial x_n}| \le M, \tag{16}$$

for all N. The equation $\phi = d\psi$, $\psi = \sum_n g_{n,n+1} d^{\infty-\{n,n+1\}}x$ is equivalent to the system

$$\frac{\partial g_{1,2}}{\partial x_2} = f_1, \quad (-1)^{n-2}(\frac{\partial g_{n-1,n}}{\partial x_{n-1}} - \frac{\partial g_{n,n+1}}{\partial x_{n+1}}) = f_n, \quad n \ge 2. \tag{17}$$

A solution of this system is given by

$$g_{1,2} = \int_0^{x_1} f_1 dt, \quad g_{n,n+1} = \int_0^{x_{n+1}} ((-1)^{n-1} f_n + \frac{\partial g_{n-1,n}}{\partial x_{n+1}}) dt.$$

Since

$$g_{2,3} = \int_0^{x_2} (-f_2 + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \int_0^{x_2} f_1 d\tau) dt = \int_0^{x_3} (-f_2 + \int_0^{x_2} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1} d\tau) dt,$$

we get

$$\frac{\partial g_{2,3}}{\partial x_2} = \int_0^{x_3} (-\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_2} + \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1}) dt.$$

We assume

$$\frac{\partial g_{n-1,n}}{\partial x_{n-1}} = \int_0^{x_n} (\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{i-1} \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_i}) dt. \tag{18}$$

Then, since

$$\frac{\partial g_{n,n+1}}{\partial x_{n+1}} = (-1)^{n-1} f_n + \frac{\partial g_{n-1,n}}{\partial x_{n-1}} = (-1)^{n-1} f_n + \int_0^{x_n} (\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{i-1} \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_i}) dt,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial g_{n+1,n+2}}{\partial x_{n+2}} &= (-1)^n f_{n+1} + \frac{\partial g_{n,n+1}}{\partial x_n} = \\ &= (-1)^n f_{n+1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \int_0^{x_{n+1}} \big((-1)^{n+1} f_n + \int_0^{x_n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{i-1} \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_i} d\tau \big) dt \\ &= (-1)^n f_{n+1} + \int_0^{x_{n+1}} (\sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{i-1} \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_i}) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we get $\frac{\partial g_{n,n+1}}{\partial x_n} = \int_0^{x_{n+1}} (\sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{i-1} \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_i}) dt$. Therefore (18) is hold for any $n \geq 1$.

If ϕ is exterior differentiable, we have

$$|\frac{\partial g_{n,N+1}}{\partial x_n}| \leq |x_{n+1}| M, \tag{19}$$

by (16). Since $\sum |x_n|^2 < \infty$, $\sum g_{n,n+1} d^{\infty - \{n,n+1\}} x$ converges by (19). Hence THeorrem holds if $p = 1$.

Let $p \geq 2$ and $J = \{j_1, \ldots, j_p\}$, $j_1 < \cdots < j_p$ be a set of natural numbers. We give the lexicographic linear order to the set J. Let J' be the set $\{j_1, \ldots, j_{p-1}\}$ and write an $\infty - p$-form ϕ as follows:

$$\phi = \sum_{J'} \sum_{i > j_{p-1}} f_{\{J', i\}} d^{\infty - \{J', i\}} x. \tag{20}$$

Then formally $d\phi$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} d\phi &= \sum_{J'} (\sum_{k \in J'} \frac{\partial f_{\{J', j\}}}{\partial x_k} dx_k \wedge d^{\infty - \{J', j\}} x) + \\ &\quad + \sum_{j > j_{p-1}} (-1)^{j+p} \frac{\partial f_{\{J', j\}}}{\partial x_j} d^{\infty - \{J', j\}} x. \end{aligned}$$

Hence if ϕ is exterior differentiable, there exist constants $M_{J'} > 0$ such that

$$|\sum_{j \le N} (-1)^j \frac{\partial f_{\{J',j\}}}{\partial x_j}| < M_{J'}, \tag{21}$$

for all $N > j_{p-1}$. The following sum also converges.

$$\sum_{J'} \sum (-1)^j \frac{\partial f_{\{J',j\}}}{\partial x_j}. \tag{22}$$

Let ψ be an $(\infty - p - 1)$-form such that $d\psi = \phi$ and

$$\psi = \sum_{J'} \sum_{i > j_p - 1} g_{\{J',i,i+1\}} d^{\infty - \{J',i,i+1\}} x.$$

Then, since

$$\begin{aligned} d\psi &= \sum_{J'} ((\sum_{k < j_{p-1}, k \notin J'} (\pm \frac{\partial g_{\{J',k,j_{p-1}+1\}}}{\partial x_k} + \\ &\quad + (-1)^{j_{p-1}-p+1} \frac{\partial g_{\{J',j_{p-1}+1,j_{p-1}+2\}}}{\partial x_{j_{p-1}+2}}) d^{\infty - \{J',j_{p-1}+1\}} x) + \\ &\quad + \sum_{j > j_{p-1}+1} (-1)^{j+p} (\frac{\partial g_{\{J',j+1,j+2\}}}{\partial x_{j+2}} - \frac{\partial g_{\{J',j,j+1\}}}{\partial x_{j+2}})) d^{\infty - \{J',j+1\}} x, \end{aligned}$$

it must be

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\{J',j_{p-1}+1\}} &= (\sum_{k < j_{p-1}, k \notin J'} \pm \frac{\partial g_{\{J',k,j_{p-1}+1\}}}{\partial x_k}) + \\ &\quad + (-1)^{j_{p-1}-p+1} \frac{\partial g_{\{J',j_{p-1}+1,j_{p-2}+2\}}}{\partial x_{j_{p-1}+2}}, \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

$$f_{\{J',j\}} = (-1)^{j+p} (\frac{\partial g_{\{J',j+1,j+2\}}}{\partial x_{j+2}} - \frac{\partial g_{\{J',j,j+1\}}}{\partial x_j}), \tag{24}$$

where $j > j_{p-1} + 1$, in (24). Since the right hand side of (23) is a finite sum, we set

$$f_{\{J',j_{p-1}+1\}} = f_{\{J',j_{p-1}+1\}} - \sum_{k < j_{p-1}, k \notin J'} \pm \frac{\partial g_{\{J',k_{p-1}+1\}}}{\partial x_k}.$$

Similar to the case $p = 1$, $g_{\{J',j,j+1\}}$, $j > j_{p-1} + 1$ are determined by

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\{J',j_{p-1}+1,j_{p-2}+2\}} &= \int_0^{x_{j_{p-1}+1}} f_{\{J',j_{p-1}+1\}} dt, \\ g_{\{J',j,j+1\}} &= \int_0^{x_j+1} (-1)^{j+p} (f_{\{J',,j\}} - \frac{\partial g_{\{J',j-1,j\}}}{\partial x_j}) dt, \ j > j_{p-1} + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then by (21) and convegence of (22), ψ converges if ϕ is exterior differentiable. Hence we have Theorem.

Note. Theorem 1 shows $d^2 \neq 0$ on the space of $(\infty - p)$-forms. For example, let ψ be $\sum(1-1/2^n)x_n x_{n+1} d^{\infty-\{n,n+1\}}x$, then

$$d\psi = \sum (-1)^n \frac{x_n}{2^n} d^{\infty-\{n\}}x, \quad d^2\psi = -d^\infty x \neq 0.$$

Since we have $d(f\phi) = df \wedge \phi + f d\phi$, where f is a smooth function, we obtain

$$d^2(f\phi) = d^2 f \wedge \phi - df \wedge d\phi + df \wedge d\phi + f d^2\phi = f d^2\phi.$$

Hence by induction, we get

$$d^{2n}(f\phi) = f d^{2n}\phi, \tag{25}$$

$$d^{2n+1}(f\phi) = df \wedge d^{2n}\phi + f d^{2n+1}\phi. \tag{26}$$

If $\phi = d\psi$, then ψ is exterior differentiable. Hence $\psi = d\upsilon$ for some υ. That is $\phi = d^2\upsilon$. By (25), by using smooth partition of unity, υ exists globally. Hence if an $\infty - p$-form ϕ is exterior differentiable, then ϕ *is globally exact.*

4 Regularized exterior differential

We have defined the action G^s to the spaces W^k, *etc.* ([6]). We also define

$$G^{sG^t} : G^{sG^t} e_n = \mu_n^{s\mu_n^t} e_n. \tag{27}$$

G^{sG^t} acts on the space of infinite differential forms if s and t large. Explicitely, we ahve

$$G^{sG^t} d^{\infty-\{i_1,\ldots,i_p\}}x = \mu_{i_1}^{s\mu_{i_1}^t} \cdots \mu_{i_p}^{s\mu_{i_p}^t} \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_j^{s\mu_j^t} d^{\infty-\{i_1,\ldots,i_p}x. \tag{28}$$

Since Ray-Singer detrminant $detG$ is the analytic continuation of $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n^{\mu_n^t}$ to $t = 0$, we have by (28)

$$G^{sG^t} d^{\infty-\{i_1,\ldots,i_p\}}x|_{t=0} = \mu_{i_1}^s \cdots \mu_{i_p}^s (detG)^{-s} d^{\infty-\{i_1,\ldots,i_p\}}x. \tag{29}$$

Here $|_{t=0}$ means analytic continuation to $t = 0$. For simple, hereafter we use the notation

$$G^{s,*}\phi = \sum_I f_I G^{sG^t} d^{\infty-I}x|_{t=0}, \quad \phi = \sum_I f_I d^{\infty-I}x. \tag{30}$$

Definition. We define the regularized exterior differential $: d : \phi$ by

$$: d : \phi = d(G^{s,*}\phi)|_{s=0}. \tag{31}$$

Note 1. We may ignore the factor $(detG)^s$ in the definition of $G^{s,*}\phi$, because we are working on a flat space. If we work on a curved space, this factor might have meanings.

Note 2. We may define regularized exterior differential for finite degree forms. But in this case, we have $: d : \alpha = d\alpha$.

Example. Let ω be $\sum(-1)^{n-1}d^{\infty-\{n\}}x$. Then $d\omega$ diverges. But, since

$$G^{s,*}(\omega) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{n-1}\mu_n^s(detG)^{-s}x_n d^{\infty-\{n\}}x,$$

we have

$$: d : \omega = \zeta(G,s)(detG)^s d^{\infty}x|_{s=0} = \nu d^{\infty}x.$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$: d : (r^a\omega) = (a+\nu)d^{\infty}x, \quad r = \sqrt{\sum x_n^2}. \tag{32}$$

Especially, $: d : (r^{-\nu}\omega)$ is equal to 0 as expected.

For simple, we denote $G^{s,*}\omega = \omega(s)$. $\omega(s)$ is exterior differentiable if $s > d$. Formally, we have

$$\omega(s) = d\psi(s), \quad \psi(s) = \sum(-1)^n(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mu_i^s)x_n x_{n+1}d^{\infty-\{n,n+1\}}x.$$

$\psi(s)$ converges if $s > d/2$. Therefore $\omega(s)$, $d \geq s > d/2$, *is not exterior differentiable, but exact.* In other word, the space of exact $(\infty - p)$-forms is wider than the space of exterior differentiable $(\infty - p)$-forms.

By definition, we have $G^{s,*}(G^{t,*}\phi) = G^{s+t,*}\phi$, we have

$$: d : (: d : \phi) = d^2 G^{s+t,*}\phi|_{s=0,t=0}.$$

Hence to define $: d^m : \phi$ by $d^m G^{s,*}\phi|_{s=0}$, we have

$$: d^m := (: d :)^m. \tag{33}$$

In [3], we defined formal adjoint δ of d by

$$\delta u^p = (-1)^p *^{-1} d * u^p, \quad \delta\phi^{\infty-p} = (-1)^p *^{\nu-p} d * \phi^{\infty-p}, \tag{34}$$

where $*$ is the Hodge operator defined in [2]. By (34), we define regularized formal adjoint of d by

$$: \delta : u^p = (-1)^p : d : *u^p, \quad : \delta : \phi^{\infty-p} = (-1)^p *^{\nu-p} : d : *\phi^{\infty-p}. \tag{35}$$

Then we have

$$: \triangle :=: d :: \delta : + : \delta :: d :, \tag{36}$$

where $: \triangle :$ is the regularized Laplacian defined in [6].

Note. Theorem 1 shows we can not expect to get de Rham theory by using $(\infty-p)$-forms. Precisely, denoting the spaces of $(\infty-p)$-forms, exterior differentiable $(\infty-p)$-forms and closed $(\infty-p)$-forms on U, an open set of $W^{k-0}(finite)$, by $\mathcal{C}^{\infty-p}(U)$, $\mathcal{E}^{\infty-p}(U)$ and $\mathcal{B}^{\infty-p}(U)$, respectively, we have

$$\mathcal{C}^{\infty-p}(U) \supset d\mathcal{E}^{\infty-(p+1)}(U) \supset \mathcal{E}^{\infty-p}(U) \supset \mathcal{B}^{\infty-p}(U), \tag{37}$$
$$d\mathcal{E}^{\infty-(p+1)}(U) \cong \mathcal{E}^{\infty-(p+1)}(U)/\mathcal{B}^{\infty-(p+1)}(U). \tag{38}$$

We also denote $\mathcal{E}_k^{\infty-p}(U)$, $1 \leq k \leq p$, the space of $(\infty-p)$-forms on U such that d^k is defined. Then we have

$$\mathcal{E}^{\infty-p}(U) = \mathcal{E}_1^{\infty-p}(U) = d\mathcal{E}_2^{\infty-(p+1)}(U),$$
$$d\mathcal{E}^{\infty-(p+1)}(U)/\mathcal{E}^{\infty-p}(U) = d\mathcal{E}_1^{\infty-(p+1)}(U)/\mathcal{E}_2^{\infty-(p+1)}(U).$$

In general, since $\mathcal{B}^{\infty-p}(U) \subset \mathcal{E}_k^{\infty-p}(U)$ for all k, we get

$$d\mathcal{E}_k^{\infty-(p+1)}(U)/d\mathcal{E}_{k+1}^{\infty-(p+1)}(U) = \mathcal{E}_k^{\infty-(p+1)}/\mathcal{E}_{k+1}^{\infty-(p+1)}(U).$$

On the other hand, we have $\mathcal{E}_k^{\infty-q}(U) = d\mathcal{E}_{k-1}^{\infty-(q+1)}(U)$, $k \geq 2$. Hence to denote $d\mathcal{E}^{\infty-(p+1)}(U)/\mathcal{E}^{\infty-p}(U)$ by $\mathrm{F}^{\infty-p}(U)$, we obtain the descent formula

$$\mathrm{F}^{\infty-p}(U) \cong \mathcal{E}_k^{\infty-(p+k)}/\mathcal{E}_{k+1}^{\infty-(p+k)}(U). \tag{39}$$

We also introduce the kernel space $\mathcal{B}_k^{\infty-p}(U)$ of d^k. Then by the map $\phi \to d^k\phi$, we have

$$\mathcal{B}_{m-k}^{\infty-p+k}(U) \cong \mathcal{B}_m^{\infty-p}(U)/\mathcal{B}_k^{\infty-p}(U). \tag{40}$$

(39) and (40) may have relation to de Rham complexes with $d^N = 0$ (cf.[7]).

By using regularized exterior differential $: d :$, we define the spaces $\mathcal{E}_{reg}^{\infty-p}(U)$, $\mathcal{B}_{reg}^{\infty-p}(U)$ and $\mathcal{B}_{k,reg}^{\infty-p}(U)$, similarly. By definitions, $\mathcal{E}_{reg}^{\infty-p}(U)$ contains $\mathcal{B}_{reg}^{\infty-p}(U)$ and

$$: d :: \mathcal{E}_{reg}^{\infty-(p+1)}(U) \cong \mathcal{E}_{reg}^{\infty-(p+1)}(U)/\mathcal{B}_{reg}^{\infty-(p+1)}(U), \tag{41}$$
$$: d^k :: \mathcal{B}_{m-k,reg}^{\infty-p+k}(U) \cong \mathcal{B}_{m,reg}^{\infty-p}(U)/\mathcal{B}_{k,reg}^{\infty-p}(U). \tag{42}$$

But the relation between $: d : \mathcal{E}_{reg}^{\infty-(p+1)}(U)$ and $\mathcal{E}_{reg}^{\infty-p}(U)$ is not known.

5 Regularized integral of $(\infty - p)$-forms

To define regularization of infinite dimensional integral on a qube domain

$$Q(\mathbf{a}) = \{\sum x_n e_{n,k} | 0 \leq x_n \leq a_n\}, \quad \mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \ldots),$$

contained in $W^{k-0}(finite)$, we use fractional integral

$$\int_0^a f(x) d^c x = \frac{1}{\Gamma(c)} \int_0^a (a-x)^{c-1} f(x) dx,$$

(cf. [4], [13]), and introduce the following operation.

$$\begin{aligned} I^{\mathbf{c}}_{Q(\mathbf{a})}(f) &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \Gamma(1+c_1) \int_0^{a_n} \big(\Gamma(1+c_2) \int_0^{a_{n-1}} \cdots \\ &\quad \cdots (\Gamma(1+c_1) \int_0^{a_1} f d^{c_1} x) \cdots d^{c_{n-1}} x\big) d^{c_n} x, \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

where $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, c_2, \ldots)$. We denote $\zeta(G, s\ G^t)$ instead of $\mathbf{c}$ if $c_1 = \mu_1^{s\mu_1^t}$, $c_2 = \mu_2^{s\mu_2^t}$, and so on. Then in [4], the regularized integral $\int_{Q(\mathbf{a})} f d^\infty : x :$ was defined by

$$\int_{Q(\mathbf{a})} f d^\infty : x := (I^{\zeta(G, s^{G^t})}_{Q(\mathbf{a})}(f)|_{t=0})|_{s=0}. \tag{44}$$

Here f is a function on $Q(\mathbf{a})$ with suitable regularity. For example, we have

$$\int_{Q(\mathbf{a})} 1 d^\infty : x :=: \prod a_n :, \tag{45}$$

where $: \prod a_n :$ is the regualrized infinite product defined in [4].

Note. For simple, we set

$$: I :^{\zeta(G,s)}_{Q(\mathbf{a})} (f) = I^{\zeta(G, s^{G^t})}_{Q(\mathbf{a})}(f)|_{t=0}. \tag{46}$$

Then we have

$$\int_{Q(\mathbf{a})} f d^\infty : x :=: I :^{\zeta(G,s)}_{Q(\mathbf{a})} (f)|_{s=0}. \tag{47}$$

This was the definition of $\int_{Q(\mathbf{a})} f d^\infty : x :$ in [4].

We apply this regularization procedure to justify physicists' calculation of the pathintegral

$$\int_H \mathrm{e}^{-2\pi i (x, Dx)} \mathcal{D}x = \frac{1}{\sqrt{det D}}. \tag{48}$$

Here D is the positive nondegenerate selfadjoint elliptic operator whose Green operator is G. The proper values of D are $\mu_1^{-1}, \mu_2^{-1}, \ldots$. Since $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mu_n = 0$, we assume $1 > \mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \ldots > 0$, for simple. Then we have

$$\lim_{s\to\infty} \zeta(G, s) = 0. \tag{49}$$

Since $\mathrm{e}^{-2\pi(x,Dx)} = \prod \mathrm{e}^{-\mu_n^{-1} 2\pi x_n^2}$, to compute $: I :_{Q(\mathbf{a})}^{\zeta(G,s)} (f)$, we need to compute

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\Gamma(1+\mu_n^s)}{\Gamma(\mu_n^s)} \int_0^{a_n} (a_n - x_n)^{\mu_n^s - 1} \mathrm{e}^{-\mu_n^{-1} 2\pi x_n^2} dx_n \\ = \; & \mu_n^s (\sqrt{\mu_n})^{\mu_n^s} \int_0^{b_n} (b_n - \xi)^{\mu_n^s - 1} \mathrm{e}^{-2\pi\xi^2} d\xi, \quad b_n = \sqrt{\mu_n^s} a_n. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\lim_{s\to\infty} \mu_n^s \int_0^{b_n} (b_n - \xi)^{\mu_n^s - 1} \mathrm{e}^{-2\pi\xi^2} d\xi = \mathrm{e}^{-2\pi b_n^2},$$

$\lim\limits_{s\to\infty} : I :_{Q(\mathbf{a})}^{\zeta(G,s)} (\mathrm{e}^{-(x,Dx)})$ exists, if $\sum a_n e_n \in H^-$.

Let $detD$ be the Ray-Singer determinant $\mathrm{e}^{-\zeta'(D,0)}$ of D. Then, since $-\zeta'(D, s) = -\zeta'(G, s)$, we have

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (\sqrt{\mu_n})^{\mu_n^s}|_{s=0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{detD}}. \tag{50}$$

Hence to derive (48), it is sufficient to show

$$\lim_{b_n\to\infty} \prod_{n-1}^{\infty} \mu_n^s (2 \int_0^{b_n} (b_n - x_n)^{\mu_n^s - 1} \mathrm{e}^{-2\pi x_n^2} dx_n)|_{s=0} = 1. \tag{51}$$

b_n's may tend to ∞ independently. But for simple, we set $b_n = r\mu_n^c$. Then, since

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \lim_{s\to 0} \mu_n^s 2 \int_0^{b_n} (b_n - x)^{\mu_n^s - 1} \mathrm{e}^{-2\pi x_n^2} dx = 1,$$

to get (51), we need to take $c > 0$. This shows to derive (48) according to the regularization procedure proposed in [4], *path integral should be taken on $W^{-d/2-c}$, $c > 0$ is arbitrary.*

Since $2\int_0^\infty \exp(-2\pi x_n^2) dx = 1$ and $\lim_{s\to 0} \mu_n^s (b_n - x)^{\mu_n^s - 1} = 1$, to show (51), we need to evaluate $1 - \mu_n^s (b_n - x)^{\mu_n^s - 1}$. We note that

$$\log\big((b_n - x)^{\mu_n^s - 1}\big) = (\mu_n^s - 1)\log(b_n - x), \quad \mu_n^s - 1 = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\log\mu_n)^m}{m!} s^m$$

Hence $(b_n - x)^{\mu_n^s - 1} - 1$ is a power series $\sum_{m\geq 1} c_m(s\log\mu_n)^m$, where c_m is a polynomial of $\log(b_n - x)$. If $b_n = r\mu_n^c$, then changing $\xi = x/\mu_n^c$, we may set

$$c_m(\log(b_n - x)) = \mu_n^c c_m(\log(r-\xi) + c\log\mu_n).$$

Precisely saying, our regularization procedure is consisted by the following two schemes

$$1 = \mu_n^s|_{s=0}, \quad \mu_n^s = \mu_n^{s\mu_n^t}|_{t=0}.$$

Acording to these schemes, we replace $\prod(c_n)$ by $\prod\mu_n^s(c_n)$ and rewrite

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\mu_n^s c_n = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\big(\mu_n^s - (\mu_n^s - \mu_n^s c_n)\big).$$

To show the convergence of this infinite product, it is sufficient to show the convergence of $\sum\mu_n^s(1-c_n)$. Then, since $\zeta^{(k)}(G,s) = \sum(\log\mu_n)^k\mu_n^s$, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\mu_n^s(b_n - x_n)^{\mu_n^s - 1} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\sum_{k=1}^{m} c_{m,k}(\log r\big(s^k\zeta^{(m)}(s+c)\big)) + O(\frac{1}{\sqrt{r}}), \quad (52)$$

if $x_n < \sqrt{r}$. Since

$$\int_s qrtr^r(r-x)^{c-1}\mathrm{e}^{-2\pi x^2}dx < \frac{1}{c}r^{c+1}\mathrm{e}^{-r},$$

these estimates on $x_n, n = 1, 2, \ldots$ are sufficient to derive (50). Hence we can apply analytic continuation of $\zeta(G,s)$ and may conclude (51).

Note. Regularized integral can be dfined for $(\infty - p)$-forms. For example, let S^∞ be the sphere (or ellipsoid) in $W^{k-0}(finite)$ given by

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(\mu_n^{-d/2}x_n)^2 = 1, \quad \sum x_n e_{n,k} \in W^{k-0}(finite). \quad (53)$$

We consider regularized integral of $\omega = \sum(-1)^{n-1}x_n d^{\infty-\{n\}}x$ on S^∞. For this purpose, we set

$$r_N(x) = \sqrt{\sum_{n>N}(\mu_n^{-d/2}x_n)^2}, \quad N = 1, 2, \ldots.$$

Then we have

$$\omega = x_1 d^{\infty-\{1\}}x + \sum_{n\geq 2}\frac{\mu_n^{-d}}{\mu_1^{-d}x_1}d^{\infty-\{1\}}x = \frac{\mu_1^d}{x_1}d^{\infty-\{1\}}x,$$

on S^∞. Because $\sum\mu_n^{-d}x_n dx_n = 0$ on S^∞.

If $(x_1, x_2, \ldots) \in S^\infty$, then they satisfy

$$-\mu_1^{d/2}\sqrt{1-r_1(x)^2} \le x_1 \le \mu_1^{d/2}\sqrt{1-r_1(x)^2},$$
$$-\mu_2^{d/2}\sqrt{1-r_2(x)^2} \le x_2 \le \mu_2^{d/2}\sqrt{1-r_2(x)^2}, \ldots.$$

Hence calculation of regularized integral of ω on S^∞ is reduced tothe calculation of

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\prod_{n\le N}\Gamma(1+\mu_n^s)\int_0^{\mu_N^{d/2}r_N(x)}\cdots\int_0^{\mu_1^{d/2}r_1(x)}\frac{2\mu_1^d}{x_1}d^{\mu_1^s}x_1\cdots 2d^{\mu_N^s}x_N. \quad (54)$$

Since we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^{\mu_n^{d/2}r_n(x)} r_{n-1}(x)^c d^a x \\ = &\int_0^{\mu_n^{d/2 r_n(x)}} r_n(x)^c\big(\sum(-1)^n\frac{c(c-1)\cdots(c-m+1)\mu_n^{-dm}x_m^2}{m!r_m(x)^m}\big)d^a x \\ = &\sum(-1)^m\frac{c(c-1)\cdots(c-m+1)(2m)!}{m!\Gamma(2m+a+1)}\mu_n^{(d/2)a}r_n(x)^a, \end{aligned}$$

by binary expansion. Hence computation of (54) is reduced to the computation of

$$\Gamma(1+\mu_n^s)\int_0^{\mu_n^{d/2}r_n(x)} r_{n-1}(x)^{-1+\mu_1^s+\cdots+\mu_{n-1}^s}d^{\mu_n^s}x. \quad (55)$$

Since we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{m=0}^\infty(-1)^m\frac{c(c-1)\cdots(c-m+1)(2m)!}{m!\Gamma(2m+a+1)} \\ = &\frac{1}{\Gamma(a)}\int_0^1(1-t)^{a-1}(1-t^2)^c dt, \end{aligned}$$

computation of the integral (55) is reduced to the computation of this last integral.

References

[1] Asada,A.: Non-commutative geometry of GL_p-bundles, Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai 66 (1995), 25-49.

[2] Asada, A.: Hodge operators over mapping spaces -Local Study, BSG Proc.1 (1997), 11-20.

[3] Asada, A.: Spectral invariants and geometry of mapping spaces, Contemporary Math. 242(1999), 189-202.

[4] Asada, A.: Regularized product of infinitely many independent variables on a Hilbert space and regularization of infinite dimensional indefinite integral via fractional calculus, Rev. Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc., 9 (2001).

[5] Asada, A.: Clliford algebras on Sobolev spaces, BSG Proc. 5(2001), 1-15.

[6] Asada, A., Tanabe, N.: Regularized Hilbert space Laplacian and longitude of Hilbert space, BSG Proc. 6(2001), 1-10.

[7] Bazunova, N., Borowiec, A., Kerner, R.: Quantum de Rham complex with $d^3 = 0$ differential, math-ph 0110007, Czechoslovak J. Phys., 51(2001),

[8] Cognola, G., Elizalde, E., Zerbini, S.: Applications in physics of the multiplicative anomaly formula involving some basic differential operators, Nucl. Phys., B532(1998), 407-428.

[9] Connes, A.: Geometry from the spectral point of view, Lett. Math. Phys., 34(1995), 203-238.

[10] Connes, A.: Noncommutative geometry. Year 2000, GAFA2000, 481-559.

[11] Jannussis, A., Papatheou, V., Vlachos, K.: Some remarks on noncommutative geometry, to appear.

[12] Landi, G., Lizzi, F., Szabo, R.J.: From large N matrices to the noncommutative torus, Commun. Math. Phys.217(2001), 181-201.

[13] Podlubny, I.: Geometric and physical interpretation of fractional integration and fractional differentiation, math.CA/0110241, 2001.

[14] Scott, S.G., Wojciechowski, K.: The ζ-determinat and Quillen determinant for a Dirac operator on amanifold with boundary, GAFA 10(2000), 1202-1236.

[15] Seiberg, N., Witten, E.: String theory and noncommutative geometry, J. High Energy Phys., 09(1999), 032.

[16] Shovkovy, I. A.: Derivative expansion of the one-loop effective action in QED, Trends in mathematical physics, AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math., 13(1999), 467-474, AMS.